	Case 1:20-cv-00618-NONE-SKO Docum	nent 23 Filed 01/08/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
1		
2		
3		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ESMELING L. BAHENA,	No. 1:20-cv-00618-NONE-SKO (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	D. ROHRDANZ, et al.,	(Doc. No. 16)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Esmeling L. Bahena is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis	
18	in this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States	
19	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On September 24, 2020, the assigned magistrate judge filed a screening order, finding that	
21	plaintiff's complaint states cognizable claims of deliberate indifference against Defendants	
22	Rohrdanz and Manhas but not against the remaining defendants. (Doc. No. 11). The magistrate	
23	judge directed plaintiff to file a first amended complaint curing the deficiencies in his pleading or	
24	to notify the court of his desire to proceed only on the claims found cognizable. (<i>Id.</i> at 1, 8.) On	
25	October 29, 2020, plaintiff filed a "notice to proceed only on Defendants Rohrdanz and Manhas."	
26	(Doc. No. 14.)	
27	Accordingly, on November 3, 2020, the magistrate judge issued findings and	
28	recommendations, recommending that Defen	dants Palma and Teresiah and the claims against
	1	

these defendants be dismissed. (Doc. No. 16.) The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and provided him 14 days to file objections thereto. (Id. at 2.) Plaintiff has not filed any objections and the time to do so has passed. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, 1. The findings and recommendations issued on November 3, 2020 (Doc. No. 16) are adopted in full; 2. Defendants Palma and Teresiah, and the claims against these defendants, are dismissed; and, 3. This case is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **January 7, 2021**

Case 1:20-cv-00618-NONE-SKO Document 23 Filed 01/08/21 Page 2 of 2